



## Mountain View County Municipal Development Plan Review

### Your County Your Plan

Thank you for attending tonight's open house. Please take a few minutes and share your comments on the changes that are proposed. Council will review all comments and will consider further changes to the draft plan.

#### 1. Are you a landowner or resident of Mountain View County?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, your legal land location is \_\_\_\_\_.

If no, where do you reside?

\_\_\_\_\_.

2. The changes that are proposed focus to a large extent on protecting viable agricultural land and environmentally significant areas. These areas are identified on Figure 3 in the draft Plan. Do you support this approach?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Please give us your comments on this overall direction.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**3. The draft Plan proposes to identify an Agricultural Preservation Area where one parcel per quarter section is generally supported. No further lots could be created. Do you agree with this approach?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should the policy be changed?**

---

---

---

---

**4. The minimum size for a new agricultural parcel is proposed to be 40 acres. Do you agree with this figure?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, what is a more appropriate size?**

---

---

**5. The draft Plan proposes that a farmstead may be subdivided only where it has been in existence for a minimum of 10 years. Do you agree with this?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should the policy be changed?**

---

---

---

---



**6. In the Agricultural Preservation Area, farmstead separations shall be 2-10 acres in size and country residential lots 2-5 acres in size. Our preference for country residential lots is in the 2-3 acre range. Do you agree with this?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should the policy be changed?**

---

---

---

**7. Figure 3 in the draft Plan shows where the low density country residential clusters could occur. This is called the Potential Multi-Lot Residential Development Area. Country Residential developments are restricted in size and location. Do you agree with this approach?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should it be changed?**

---

---

---

**8. The draft Plan currently restricts the number of new lots to a maximum of four (4). Do you agree with this number?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, what should the number be?**

---

---



**9. The draft Plan proposes that low density residential subdivision (up to 4 new lots) should only be permitted if the landowner has held title for at least 10 years. This is intended to discourage short term land speculation and support long term landowners of the County. Do you agree with this?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should this be changed?**

---

---

---

---

**10. The draft Plan currently identifies several growth centres where higher density residential and business developments can potentially locate. Do you agree with the number of growth centres and their size?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, how should they be changed?**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**11. In a growth centre potentially up to 48 residential lots could be developed on a quarter. These lots need to be at least 2 acres in size. Do you agree with the number of lots and the 2 acre requirement?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**If no, what should the numbers be?**

---

---

---

---

**12. Industrial and commercial development is encouraged in growth centres, including the area along the Highway 2 corridor. Are these locations appropriate for these kinds of developments?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

**13. In growth centres the draft Plan generally requires that an Area Structure Plan or other form of more detailed planning be prepared prior to considering a subdivision or development. Do you agree that this is required?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

---

---

---



**14. The draft Plan outlines many criteria which country residential and business subdivision proposals need to consider. For instance, country residential subdivisions in a growth centre need to be clustered so the balance remains in agriculture and they need to prove that water and sewer can be safely provided. Are these criteria appropriate? Should any new ones be added?**

---

---

---

---

---

---

**15. In the policies relating to servicing and utilities, the requirements for communal piped water and waste water systems, especially in growth centres has been changed to include the option for other, more practical methods. Do you agree with this approach?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

**16. The draft Plan contains policies to protect environmentally significant lands. These areas are mapped on Figure 4. The draft Plan states that for higher density residential development, biophysical surveys may be required. Do you feel that the environmental policies adequately protect the County's resources?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**17. The draft Plan has added Recreational Development Policies in section 5.4. Do these policies give adequate guidance for future Recreational Development?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

---

**18. Please provide us with any additional comments you would like to offer.**

**Comments:**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Thank you for your time and input by completing this survey. Please make sure it is returned to us by December 20, 2011.

**Mountain View County  
Postal Bag 100  
Didsbury, AB T0M 0W0  
1408 – Twp Rd 320**

